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Introduction

Early Mesozoic epicontinental basins of western North America contain a spectacular record of the climatic and tectonic development of northwestern Pangea as well as what is arguably the world’s richest and most-studied Triassic-Jurassic continental biota. The Colorado Plateau and its environs (Fig. 1) expose the textbook example of these layered sedimentary records (Fig. 2). Intensely studied since the mid-nineteenth century, the basins, their strata, and their fossils have stimulated hypotheses on the development of the Early Mesozoic world as reflected in the international literature. Despite this long history of research, the lack of numerical time calibration, the presence of major uncertainties in global correlations, and an absence of entire suites of environmental proxies still loom large and prevent integration of this immense environmental repository into a useful global picture. Practically insurmountable obstacles to outcrop sampling require a scientific drilling experiment to recover key sedimentary sections that will transform our understanding of the Early Mesozoic world.

To bring our insight into this critical time in Earth history to a new level, we developed the concept of the Colorado Plateau Coring Project (CPCP), an effort to recover continuous core spanning the early Mesozoic (Triassic-Jurassic) section of the Colorado Plateau and adjacent areas. The original basis for this was outlined at the 1999 International Continental Scientific Drilling Program (ICDP) and U.S. National Science Foundation (NSF) funded International Workshop for a Climatic, Biotic, and Tectonic, Pole-to-Pole Coring Transect of Triassic-Jurassic Pangea (http://www.ldeo.columbia.edu/~polsen/nbcp/pangeafinalreport.html, Olsen et al., 1999), section “Western Equatorial Pangea” (http://www.ldeo.columbia.edu/~polsen/nbcp/westpangea.html). Forty-five researchers from six countries attended the inaugural CPCP planning workshop held 13–16 November 2007, in St. George, Utah. The main goal was to develop a community consensus science plan for the CPCP (http://www.ldeo.columbia.edu/~polsen/cpcp/CPCP_home_page.html). The participants represented disciplines ranging from geochronology and physical stratigraphy through vertebrate paleontology and paleobotany. A plenary session with speakers highlighting the major science issues, precedents, and geoinformatics priorities was followed by thematic breakout groups. These included Stratigraphy, Geochronology and Magnetostratigraphy, Climate and Environments, Paleontology and Biotic Change, and Geoinformatics and Core-log Outcrop Integration. A half-day field trip was made to the St. George Dinosaur Discovery Site at Johnson Farm (http://www.scity.org/dinotrax/) and Warner Valley outcrops of Triassic-Jurassic strata (Fig. 3), and was followed by a plenary synthesis session. As developed by consensus at the workshop, the goal of the CPCP is to transform our understanding of the interplay between major biotic transitions, global climate change, plate position, and tectonics over 100 Ma of Earth histories (Fig. 2).

Overall Concept and Goals

Broad questions that could be profitably addressed in the Colorado Plateau venue include the following: what are the global or regional climate trends vs. plate position changes in “hot house” Pangea; how do largely fluvial systems respond to cyclical climate; what are the rates and magnitudes of the transition from the Paleozoic to essentially modern terrestrial ecosystems; and how does the stratigraphy reflect the interplay between growth in accommodation, uplift, and eustatic fluctuations? Based on these questions, the workshop identified eight goals attainable by coring of key Triassic/Jurassic sections:

1) Establishment of paleogeographic boundary conditions, particularly changes in paleolatitude.
2) Development of the highest-resolution magnetic polarity stratigraphy for Early Triassic through Late Jurassic strata to facilitate detailed regional and global correlation.

3) Determination of how paleoclimates are expressed through time in the sedimentary record of western Pangea.

4) Identification of the precise stratigraphic position of major global biotic transitions (i.e., Permo-Triassic, Triassic-Jurassic, and Toarcian).

5) Refinement of lithostratigraphic and biostratigraphic correlations, considering regional unconformities and their possible relationship to eustacy and tectonics (c.f., Bachmann and Kozur, 2004).

6) Development of a chemostratigraphic (813C, cuticular CO2 proxy, Nd, Sr, clays, etc.) reference sections.

7) Improvement of U-Pb zircon provenance stratigraphy and geochronology of ash beds.

8) Establishment of links among the temporal evolution of the Colorado Plateau sedimentary record, rifting of Pangea opening of the Atlantic Ocean, and emplacement of basalt provinces (Fig. 2).

**Coring Plans**

Given these overall goals, the workshop reached several conclusions that dictated the coring plan.

1) **Early Triassic through Late Jurassic Formations including the Morrison Formation should be cored** in order to cover the full range of climatic milieux represented by these rocks. Collectively, the group defined a three-tiered coring plan consisting of (1) three relatively thick (~1 km) synoptic intervals that together would yield an overlapping stratigraphic framework for the entire Jurassic and Triassic section, (2) two thinner (~500 m) cored sections that would tie to critical outcrop areas or to expanded critical intervals, and (3) a number of short sections to address more specific problems or provide regional coverage to the other five cores that are the nexus of the project.

2) **Superposition is paramount.** Evaluation of the critical Early Mesozoic transitions is **required of all Early Mesozoic** units in clear superposition. The five major stratigraphic units identified as major coring targets reflect, from oldest to youngest: arid (Moenkopi); humid to semiarid (Chinle); very arid (Glen Canyon and San Rafael); and return to semiarid and humid (Morrison) (Figs. 2 and 4). These climate transitions have been explained in several ways—translation of the North American plate from equatorial to mid-latitudes through zonal climate belts (Dickinson, 2005; Kent and Muttoni, 2003; Kent and Tauxe, 2004); **large scale changes in** the climate system involving changes in the non-zonal components of the climate system, particularly the monsoon (Kutzbach and Gallimore, 1989; Parrish, 1995; Rowe et al., 2007); or **fluctuations in greenhouse gases like CO2** (e.g., McElwain et al., 1999; Kürschner, 2001). These fundamentally different hypotheses remain untested because the temporal evolution of major boundary conditions, most notably latitude, has not been resolved to a useful level of precision (Tauxe and Kent, 2004; Tan et al., 2007).

3) **Internal time calibration needed.** Correlation of the Plateau sequence is presently based on low-resolution non-marine biostratigraphic approaches, and it does not provide clear biogeographic patterns or determination of the rates of biotic change in these very fossiliferous sequences. None of the major intervals of biotic change (Permo-Triassic; Triassic-Jurassic; or Toarcian) are located with precision in this succession. A combination of polarity stratigraphy along with geochronologic dates from ash deposits and dispersed grains will allow global correlations, including: 1) Triassic and Early Jurassic reference sections (Szurlies, 2007); 2) the astronomically calibrated polarity time scale (Kent and Olsen, 1999, 2008; Olsen and Kent, 1999; Hounslow et al., 2004; Kemp and Coe, 2007); 3) the Germanic basin (Bachmann and Kozur, 2004); 4) fully marine Tethyan sections (Muttoni et al., 2005; Channell et al., 2003; Gallet et al., 2007); 5) non-marine Jurassic to Early Cretaceous sequences of China (Feursich et al., 2002; Yao et al., 2003; Xu, 2005);
and possibly, the marine magnetic anomaly M-sequence (Channell et al., 1995; Sager et al., 1998).

4) **Minimize hiatuses.** Acquiring as much stratigraphic scope as possible through the more continuous units and testing any palaeomagnetic reversal sequences across geography by designing stratigraphic overlap between cores will help overcome significant unconformities and possible smaller and cryptic hiatuses. The thickest sections, least likely to be affected by rampant hiatuses, are not confined to a single area in the Colorado Plateau because of lateral shifts in the basin’s depocenters. Several cores will be necessary to get the most favorable sections of each of the units. However, the thick sections proposed for coring are far from comparable surface outcrops, and thus, **subsidiary sections more proximal** to sources of the surface data must be cored as well. The goal is to provide a long enough section with unambiguous ties to the outcrop and sufficient stratigraphic scope to correlate with the main, long cores.

5) **Thick eolianite successions should be avoided** while key limestone and eolianite tongues must be intersected for age control by lateral correlation to outcrop.

Using these five principles, **three areas identified for long (-1 km) cores are, from the uppermost stratigraphic interval downward (Figs. 1 and 2):**

1) **Dry Mesa** (east side of San Rafael Swell, UT), ~1400 m from basal Cretaceous Cedar Mountain Formation to the Permian Kaibab Formation;
2) **St. George** (west of the Hurricane fault), ~1100 m from locally basal Navajo Formation to Permian Kaibab Formation; and
3) **Tuba City** (north of Ward Terrace), ~700 m from basal Navajo Formation to Kaibab Formation. Although the St. George and Tuba City sections appear to span the same overall section, they are actually critical complements. The Moenkopi and latest (?) Triassic-Early JurassicTriassic-Early Jurassic Moenave formations are well-developed, cyclical, and probably relatively complete in the St. George area, yet the Chine Group is very thin and erosionally truncated. In the Tuba City area, the Chine is very well-developed, but the Moenkopi is very thin and erosionally truncated. Also, the Moenave lacks the well-developed, cyclical lacustrine strata present around St. George.

The two medium depth sections (Fig. 2) are targeted for 1) the **Rock Point** area (north of Round Rock, Utah), ~600 m from basal Wingate Formation to Permian Kiabab Formation,
and 2) the Petrified Forest area (within Petrified Forest National Park, AZ), ~400 m from Sonsela (mid-Chinle) to Permian. The Rock Point area is a critical target because it exposes the Triassic-Jurassic boundary section in the largely eolian Wingate Formation, based on both vertebrate paleontology and magnetostratigraphy, and it is a natural complement to the lacustrine-dominated Moenave Formation near St. George. The Petrified Forest area has produced the bulk of the Chinle fauna and flora, as well as dated ash beds, and it is a natural complement to the Chinle section in the Tuba City area. The workshop concluded that the geophysical logs of the core holes and cores will be critical in tying cores to outcrop (Szurles et al., 2003).

Next Steps

The CPCP workshop endorsed aiming full drilling proposals to ICDP and U.S. National Science Foundation (NSF) Continental Dynamics. In preparation for this, there will be an international CPCP proposal planning workshop in Albuquerque, N.M., to be advertised in the near future.
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